arXiv:math/0510124v1 [math.QA] 6 Oct 2005

Representations of Two-parameter Quantum Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups

Nantel Bergeron, Yun Gao, and Naihong Hu*

Abstract. We investigate the finite-dimensional representation theory of two-parameter quantum orthogonal and symplectic groups that we found in [BGH] under the assumption that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity and extend some results [BW1, BW2] obtained for type A to types B, C and D. We construct the corresponding R-matrices and the quantum Casimir operators, by which we prove that the complete reducibility Theorem also holds for the categories of finite-dimensional weight modules for types B, C, D.

1. Preliminaries: Two-parameter Quantum Groups for Classical Types

Let $\mathbb{K} \supset \mathbb{Q}(r,s)$ denote an algebraically closed field, where the two-parameters r, s are nonzero complex numbers satisfying $r^2 \neq s^2$.

In this section, we recall the definitions of the two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ from [BW1], and for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ from [BGH]. Let Ψ be a finite root system of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank n with Π a base of simple roots. Regard Ψ as a subset of a Euclidean space $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ with an inner product $(\,,)$. Let $\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n$ denote an orthonormal basis of E. We need the following data on (prime) root systems.

Type A:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \{\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid 1 \le i \le n\}, \\ \Psi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le n+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Type B:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \{\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid 1 \le i < n\} \cup \{\alpha_n = \epsilon_n\}, \\ \Psi &= \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i \neq j \le n\} \cup \{\pm\epsilon_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}. \end{aligned}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. Two-parameter quantum group, R-matrix, quantum Casimir operator, complete reducibility.

N.B. supported by the NSERC and the CRC of Canada.

Y.G. supported by the NSERC of Canada and the Chinese Academy of Science.

*N.H., Corresponding Author, supported in part by the NNSF (Grant 10431040), the TRAPOYT and the FUDP from the MOE of China, the SRSTP from the STCSM, the Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline from the SMEC.

Type C:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= \{\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid 1 \le i < n\} \cup \{\alpha_n = 2\epsilon_n\},\\ \Psi &= \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le n\} \cup \{2\epsilon_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Type D:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= \{\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid 1 \le i < n\} \cup \{\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n\},\\ \Psi &= \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le n\}.\end{aligned}$$

In the cases of type A, C and D, we set $r_i = r^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}}$, $s_i = s^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}}$; while for type B, we set $r_i = r^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$, $s_i = s^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$.

Assigned to Π, there are two sets of mutually-commutative symbols $W = \{\omega_i^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $W' = \{\omega_i'^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Define a pairing $\langle\, ,\rangle : W' \times W \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ as follows:

$$(1_A) \qquad \langle \omega_i', \omega_j\rangle = r^{(\epsilon_j,\alpha_i)} s^{(\epsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}, \qquad i \le n{+}1,\ j \le n, \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1},$$

$$(1_B) \qquad \langle \omega_i', \omega_j\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{2(\epsilon_j,\alpha_i)} s^{2(\epsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}, & i \le n,\ j < n,\\ r^{2(\epsilon_n,\alpha_i)}, & i < n,\ j = n,\\ r^{(\epsilon_n,\alpha_n)} s^{-(\epsilon_n,\alpha_n)}, & i = j = n.\end{cases} \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1},$$

$$(1_C) \qquad \langle \omega_i', \omega_j\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_j,\alpha_i)} s^{(\epsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}, & i \le n,\ j < n,\\ r^{2(\epsilon_n,\alpha_i)}, & i < n,\ j = n,\\ r^{(\epsilon_n,\alpha_n)} s^{-(\epsilon_n,\alpha_n)}, & i = j = n.\end{cases} \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{sp}_{2n},$$

$$(1_D) \qquad \langle \omega_i', \omega_j\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_j,\alpha_i)} s^{(\epsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}, & i \le n,\ j < n,\\ r^{(\epsilon_{n-1},\alpha_i)} s^{-(\epsilon_n,\alpha_i)}, & i \ne n-1,\ j = n,\\ r^{(\epsilon_n,\alpha_{n-1})} s^{-(\epsilon_{n-1},\alpha_{n-1})}, & i = n-1,\ j = n.\end{cases} \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{so}_{2n},$$

$$(2) \qquad \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{-1}\rangle = \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \omega_j\rangle^{-1} = \langle \omega_i', \omega_j\rangle^{\mp 1}, \qquad \textit{for any} \quad \mathfrak{g}.$$

LEMMA 1.1. *For the prime root systems of the Lie algebras* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, *and* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, *there hold the identities:*

$$(\epsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i) = -(\epsilon_i, \alpha_j), \qquad (i, j < n), \qquad \textit{for any} \quad \mathfrak{g},$$

$$(\epsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_n) = \begin{cases} -(\epsilon_n, \alpha_j), & (j < n), \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1},\\ -2(\epsilon_n, \alpha_j), & (j < n), \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n},\end{cases}$$

$$(\epsilon_j, \alpha_n) = \begin{cases} -(\epsilon_n, \alpha_{j-1}), & (j \le n,\ j \ne n-1),\\ (\epsilon_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}), & (j = n-1)\end{cases} \qquad \textit{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}.$$

□

Observe that Lemma 1.1 ensures the compatibility of the defining relations of the two-parameter quantum groups defined below.

Let $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by symbols $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, subject to the following relations $(X1)$—$(X4)$:

$(X1)$ $\omega_i^{\pm 1}\omega_j'^{\pm 1} = \omega_j'^{\pm 1}\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \qquad \omega_i^{\pm 1}\omega_i^{\mp 1} = 1 = \omega_i'^{\pm 1}\omega_i'^{\mp 1}$.

$(X2)$ For $1 \le i,\, j \le n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j\, e_i\, \omega_j^{-1} &= \langle \omega_i', \omega_j \rangle\, e_i, & \omega_j\, f_i\, \omega_j^{-1} &= \langle \omega_i', \omega_j \rangle^{-1}\, f_i, \\ \omega_j'\, e_i\, {\omega_j'}^{-1} &= \langle \omega_j', \omega_i \rangle^{-1}\, e_i, & \omega_j'\, f_i\, {\omega_j'}^{-1} &= \langle \omega_j', \omega_i \rangle\, f_i. \end{aligned}$$

$(X3)$ For $1 \le i,\, j \le n$, we have

$$[\, e_i, f_j \,] = \delta_{ij} \frac{\omega_i - \omega_i'}{r_i - s_i}.$$

$(X4)$ For any $i \neq j$, we have the (r,s)-Serre relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\mathrm{ad}_l\, e_i\big)^{1-a_{ij}}\, (e_j) &= 0, \\ \big(\mathrm{ad}_r\, f_i\big)^{1-a_{ij}}\, (f_j) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the definitions of the left-adjoint action $\mathrm{ad}_l\, e_i$ and the right-adjoint action $\mathrm{ad}_r\, f_i$ are given in the following sense:

$$\mathrm{ad}_l\, a\, (b) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}\, b\, S(a_{(2)}), \quad \mathrm{ad}_r\, a\, (b) = \sum_{(a)} S(a_{(1)})\, b\, a_{(2)}, \quad \forall\, a, b \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ is given by Proposition 1.2 below.

The following fact is straightforward.

Proposition 1.2. *The algebra* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1},\, \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1},\, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, *or* $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$) *is a Hopf algebra under the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode defined below:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) &= \omega_i^{\pm 1} \otimes \omega_i^{\pm 1}, & \Delta({\omega_i'}^{\pm 1}) &= {\omega_i'}^{\pm 1} \otimes {\omega_i'}^{\pm 1}, \\ \Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes e_i, & \Delta(f_i) &= 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \omega_i', \\ \varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm}) &= \varepsilon({\omega_i'}^{\pm 1}) = 1, & \varepsilon(e_i) &= \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, \\ S(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) &= \omega_i^{\mp 1}, & S({\omega_i'}^{\pm 1}) &= {\omega_i'}^{\mp 1}, \\ S(e_i) &= -\omega_i^{-1} e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i\, {\omega_i'}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1.3. When $r = s^{-1} = q$, Hopf algebra $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ modulo the Hopf ideal generated by the elements $\omega_i' - \omega_i^{-1}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, is just the quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type.

Definition 1.4. *A skew-dual pairing of two Hopf algebras* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{U}$ *is a bilinear form* $\langle\, ,\, \rangle : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle &= \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}}(f), & \langle 1_{\mathcal{U}}, a \rangle &= \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(a), \\ \langle f, a_1 a_2 \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}^{op}(f), a_1 \otimes a_2 \rangle, & \langle f_1 f_2, a \rangle &= \langle f_1 \otimes f_2, \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f,\, f_1,\, f_2 \in \mathcal{U}$, *and* $a,\, a_1,\, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, *where* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}}$ *and* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$ *denote the counits of* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\mathcal{A}$, *respectively, and* $\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}$ *and* $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ *are their respective comultiplications.*

Let $\mathcal{B} = B(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}' = B'(\mathfrak{g})$) denote the Hopf subalgebra of $U = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_j,\, \omega_j^{\pm 1}$ (resp. $f_j,\, {\omega_j'}^{\pm 1}$) with $1 \le j \le n$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, and with $1 \le j \le n$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, respectively. The following result was obtained for the type A case by [BW1], and for the types B, C and D cases by [BGH].

PROPOSITION 1.5. *There exists a unique skew-dual pairing* $\langle\,,\rangle : \mathcal{B}'\times\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ *of the Hopf subalgebras* $\mathcal{B}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}'$ *in* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$*, for* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$*,* $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$*,* $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$*, or* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ *such that* $\langle f_i, e_j\rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{s_i - r_i}$*, and the conditions* (1_X) *(where* $X = A$*,* B*,* C*, or* D*) and* (2) *are satisfied, and all other pairs of generators are* 0*. Moreover, we have* $\langle S(a), S(b)\rangle = \langle a, b\rangle$ *for* $a \in \mathcal{B}'$*,* $b \in \mathcal{B}$*.* □

DEFINITION 1.6. *For any two skew-paired Hopf algebras* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{U}$ *by a skew-dual pairing* $\langle\,,\rangle$*, one may form the Drinfel'd double* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$ *as in* [KS, 8.2]*, which is a Hopf algebra whose underlying coalgebra is* $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{U}$ *with the tensor product coalgebra structure, and whose algebra structure is defined by*

$$(a\otimes f)(a'\otimes f') = \sum \langle S_{\mathcal{U}}(f_{(1)}), a'_{(1)}\rangle\langle f_{(3)}, a'_{(3)}\rangle\, aa'_{(2)}\otimes f_{(2)}f', \tag{3}$$

for $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $f, f' \in \mathcal{U}$*. The antipode* S *is given by*

$$S(a\otimes f) = (1\otimes S_{\mathcal{U}}(f))(S_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\otimes 1).$$

Clearly, both mappings $\mathcal{A}\ni a\mapsto a\otimes 1\in\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{U}\ni f\mapsto 1\otimes f\in\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$ are injective Hopf algebra homomorphisms. Let us denote the image $a\otimes 1$ (resp. $1\otimes f$) of a (resp. f) in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$ by $\hat{a}$ (resp. $\hat{f}$). By (3), we have the following cross commutation relations between elements $\hat{a}$ (for $a\in\mathcal{A}$) and $\hat{f}$ (for $f\in\mathcal{U}$) in the algebra $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$:

$$\hat{f}\,\hat{a} = \sum\,\langle S_{\mathcal{U}}(f_{(1)}), a_{(1)}\rangle\,\langle f_{(3)}, a_{(3)}\rangle\;\hat{a}_{(2)}\hat{f}_{(2)}, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum\langle f_{(1)}, a_{(1)}\rangle\,\hat{f}_{(2)}\,\hat{a}_{(2)} = \sum\hat{a}_{(1)}\,\hat{f}_{(1)}\,\langle f_{(2)}, a_{(2)}\rangle. \tag{5}$$

In fact, as an algebra the double $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{U})$ is the universal algebra generated by the algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ with cross relations (4) or, equivalently, (5).

THEOREM 1.7 ([BW1, BGH]). *The two-parameter quantum group* $U = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ *is isomorphic to the Drinfel'd quantum double* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}')$*, for* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$*,* $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$*,* $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$*, or* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$*.* □

Let us denote $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$ (resp. $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$) the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}'$) generated by e_i (resp. f_i) for all $i\le n$. Let

$$U^0 = \mathbb{K}\,[\omega_1^{\pm1},\cdots,\omega_n^{\pm1},{\omega'_1}^{\pm1},\cdots,{\omega'_n}^{\pm1}],$$

$$U_0 = \mathbb{K}\,[\omega_1^{\pm1},\cdots,\omega_n^{\pm1}],\qquad U_0' = \mathbb{K}\,[{\omega'_1}^{\pm1},\cdots,{\omega'_n}^{\pm1}]$$

denote the respective Laurent polynomial subalgebras of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{B}'$. Clearly, $U^0 = U_0U_0' = U_0'U_0$. Thus, by definition, we have $\mathcal{B} = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})\rtimes U_0$, and $\mathcal{B}' = U_0'\ltimes U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, such that the double $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}')\cong U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})\otimes U^0\otimes U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, as vector spaces.

Let $\langle\,|\,\rangle_0 : \mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ denote the skew-dual pairing given by $\langle b\,|\,b'\rangle_0 = \langle S(b'), b\rangle$. Then, via a variation of its Drinfel'd double structure, we obtain the standard triangular decomposition of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ in [BGH, Corollary 2.6] as follows.

COROLLARY 1.8. $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})\cong U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)\otimes U^0\otimes U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$*, as vector spaces. In particular, it induces* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\cong U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)\otimes U_0\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{n})$*, as vector spaces.* □

Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}\Psi$ denote the root lattice and set $Q^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}\alpha_i$. Then for any $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i\alpha_i \in Q$, we denote

$$\omega_\zeta = \omega_1^{\zeta_1}\cdots\omega_n^{\zeta_n},\qquad \omega'_\zeta = (\omega'_1)^{\zeta_1}\cdots(\omega'_n)^{\zeta_n}. \tag{6}$$

The following Q-graded structure on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ is necessary to develop to its weight representation theory discussed in the sequel.

Corollary 1.9 ([BGH, Corollary 2.7]). *For any $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_i \alpha_i \in Q$, the defining relations $(X2)$ in $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ take the form below:*

$$\omega_\zeta\, e_i\, \omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega_i', \omega_\zeta\rangle\, e_i, \qquad \omega_\zeta\, f_i\, \omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega_i', \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} f_i,$$
$$\omega_\zeta'\, e_i\, {\omega_\zeta'}^{-1} = \langle \omega_\zeta', \omega_i\rangle^{-1} e_i, \qquad \omega_\zeta'\, f_i\, {\omega_\zeta'}^{-1} = \langle \omega_\zeta', \omega_i\rangle\, f_i.$$

Then $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^\pm) = \bigoplus_{\eta\in Q^+} U_{r,s}^{\pm\eta}(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)$ is $Q^\pm$-graded, where

$$U_{r,s}^{\eta}(\mathfrak{n}^\pm) = \Big\{ a \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^\pm) \;\Big|\; \omega_\zeta\, a\, \omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega_\eta', \omega_\zeta\rangle\, a,\ \omega_\zeta'\, a\, {\omega_\zeta'}^{-1} = \langle \omega_\zeta', \omega_\eta\rangle^{-1}\, a \Big\},$$

for $\eta \in Q^+ \cup Q^-$.

Moreover, $U = \bigoplus_{\eta\in Q} U_{r,s}^{\eta}(\mathfrak{g})$ is Q-graded such that

$$U_{r,s}^{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}) = \Big\{ \sum F_\alpha \omega_\mu' \omega_\nu E_\beta \in U \;\Big|\; \omega_\zeta\,(F_\alpha \omega_\mu' \omega_\nu E_\beta)\,\omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega_{\beta-\alpha}', \omega_\zeta\rangle\, F_\alpha \omega_\mu' \omega_\nu E_\beta,$$
$$\omega_\zeta'\,(F_\alpha \omega_\mu' \omega_\nu E_\beta)\,{\omega_\zeta'}^{-1} = \langle \omega_\zeta', \omega_{\beta-\alpha}\rangle^{-1}\, F_\alpha \omega_\mu' \omega_\nu E_\beta, \text{ with } \beta-\alpha=\eta \Big\},$$

where F_α (resp. E_β) is a certain monomial $f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_l}$ (resp. $e_{j_1}\cdots e_{j_m}$) such that $\alpha_{i_1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i_l}=\alpha$ (resp. $\alpha_{j_1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j_m}=\beta$). □

2. Finite-Dimensional Weight Representation Theory and Category $\mathcal{O}$

As we know, the standard triangular decomposition of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ suggests that $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ possesses highest weight representation theory. Indeed, this has been developed by Benkart and Witherspoon in [BW2] for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ or $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. In principle, one can expect the same theory to be valid as well for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. To establish this, we will follow Benkart and Witherspoon's main ideas. However, to treat these cases in a unified fashion, we need to have better insights here and there in order to generalize the techniques used in the type A case. Throughout the article, we assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field containing $\mathbb{Q}(r,s)$ as a subfield and rs^{-1} is not a root of unity.

Let Λ be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, respectively. We adopt similar notions and notations in [BW1]. Associated to any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is an algebra homomorphism $\hat\lambda$ from the subalgebra U^0 over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by the elements ω_i, ω_i' $(1 \le i \le n)$ to $\mathbb{K}$ given by

$$\hat\lambda(\omega_i) = \langle \omega_\lambda', \omega_i\rangle, \qquad \hat\lambda(\omega_i') = \langle \omega_i', \omega_\lambda\rangle^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

here we extend the definition of $\langle\,,\rangle$ from $\lambda \in Q$ to $\lambda \in \Lambda$ via taking appropriate half-integer powers when necessary, observing that $\Lambda \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$.

Let M be a U-module of dimension $d < \infty$ where $U = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$. As $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed, by linear algebra, we have

$$M = \bigoplus_{\chi} M_\chi,$$

where each $\chi : U^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an algebra homomorphism, and M_χ is the generalized eigenspace given by

$$M_\chi = \left\{ m \in M \mid (\omega_i - \chi(\omega_i)1)^d m = 0 = (\omega_i' - \chi(\omega_i')1)^d m,\ \forall\, i \right\}. \tag{2}$$

When $M_\chi \neq 0$ we say that χ is a weight and M_χ is the corresponding weight space. In the case when M decomposes into genuine eigenspaces relative to U^0, we say that U^0 acts semisimply on M.

Relations in $(X2)$ imply

$$e_j M_\chi \subseteq M_{\chi\cdot\widehat{\alpha_j}}\,, \qquad f_j M_\chi \subseteq M_{\chi\cdot(\widehat{-\alpha_j})}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\widehat{\alpha_j}$ is as in (1), and $\chi\cdot\psi$ is the homomorphism with values $(\chi\cdot\psi)(\omega_i) = \chi(\omega_i)\psi(\omega_i)$ and $(\chi\cdot\psi)(\omega_i') = \chi(\omega_i')\psi(\omega_i')$. In fact, if $(\omega_i - \chi(\omega_i)1)^k m = 0$, then $(\omega_i - \chi(\omega_i)\langle\omega_j', \omega_i\rangle 1)^k e_j m = 0$, and similarly for ω_i' and for f_j. On the one hand, (3) means that the sum of the eigenspaces is a submodule of M, and so if M is simple, the sum must be M itself, meanwhile we may replace the power d in (2) by 1, that is, U^0 acts semisimply on each simple M. On the other hand, a direct consequence of (3) is that for each simple M there is a homomorphism χ so that all the weights of M are of the form $\chi\cdot\hat{\zeta}$, where $\zeta\in Q$.

When all the weights of a module M are of the form $\hat{\lambda}$, where $\lambda\in\Lambda$, we say that M has weights in Λ. Any simple U-module having one weight in Λ has all its weights in Λ.

The observation below, which arises from Benkart and Witherspoon [BW2, Proposition 3.5] in the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, or $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, also holds in our cases when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, suppose that $\hat{\zeta} = \hat{\eta}$, where ζ, $\eta\in\Lambda$. Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, then $\zeta = \eta$.*

Proof. The proof for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ was given in [BW1, Proposition 3.5]. We now give the proof case by case for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, respectively.

For $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i\alpha_i \in \Lambda$, by definition, we have

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \langle\omega_\zeta', \omega_i\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{2(\epsilon_i,\zeta)} s^{2(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ r^{2(\epsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-\zeta_n}. & i=n; \end{cases} \tag{B}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i') = \langle\omega_i', \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} = \begin{cases} r^{2(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)} s^{2(\epsilon_i,\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ s^{2(\epsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-\zeta_n}. & i=n. \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \langle\omega_\zeta', \omega_i\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_i,\zeta)} s^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ r^{2(\epsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-2\zeta_n}. & i=n; \end{cases} \tag{C}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i') = \langle\omega_i', \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)} s^{(\epsilon_i,\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ s^{2(\epsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-2\zeta_n}. & i=n. \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \langle\omega_\zeta', \omega_i\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_i,\zeta)} s^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ r^{(\epsilon_{n-1},\zeta)} s^{-(\epsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-2\zeta_{n-1}}. & i=n; \end{cases} \tag{D}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i') = \langle\omega_i', \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} = \begin{cases} r^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\zeta)} s^{(\epsilon_i,\zeta)}, & i<n,\\ r^{-(\epsilon_n,\zeta)} s^{(\epsilon_{n-1},\zeta)}(rs)^{-2\zeta_{n-1}}. & i=n. \end{cases}$$

Denote $\mu = \zeta - \eta$, from $\hat{\zeta}(\omega_n) = \hat{\eta}(\omega_n)$ and $\hat{\zeta}(\omega_n') = \hat{\eta}(\omega_n')$, in the type B or C case, we get $r^{2(\epsilon_n,\mu)}(rs)^{-\mu_n} = 1$, $s^{2(\epsilon_n,\mu)}(rs)^{-\mu_n} = 1$; or $r^{2(\epsilon_n,\mu)}(rs)^{-2\mu_n} = 1$, $s^{2(\epsilon_n,\mu)}(rs)^{-2\mu_n} = 1$. So $(rs^{-1})^{2(\epsilon_n,\mu)} = 1$ which, together with the assumption, means the integer $2(\epsilon_n,\mu) = 0$, that is,

$$\mu_{n-1} = \mu_n, \quad (\textit{for type } \mathrm{B}), \quad \textit{or} \quad \mu_{n-1} = 2\mu_n, \quad (\textit{for type } \mathrm{C}). \tag{4}$$

Again from $\hat{\zeta}(\omega_{n-1}) = \hat{\eta}(\omega_{n-1})$ and $\hat{\zeta}(\omega'_{n-1}) = \hat{\eta}(\omega'_{n-1})$, in the type B or C case, we get $(\alpha_{n-1}, \mu) = 0$, that is,

$$\mu_{n-2} = \mu_n, \quad (\textit{for type } \mathrm{B}), \quad \textit{or} \quad \mu_{n-2} = 2\mu_n, \quad (\textit{for type } \mathrm{C}). \tag{5}$$

But similar to the deduction in the case of type A (see [BW1]), noting $\mu_0 = 0$, we have

$$\mu_{i+2} - \mu_{i+1} - \mu_i + \mu_{i-1} = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-2), \tag{6}$$

$$\mu_{2k} = k\mu_2, \qquad \mu_{2k+1} = k\mu_2 + \mu_1. \tag{7}$$

Thus, by (4), (5) & (6), we get $\mu_n = \mu_{n-1} = \cdots = \mu_1 = \mu_0 = 0$ in the type B case. For the type C case, if $n = 2m$, by (5) & (7), we get $\mu_{n-2} = (m-1)\mu_2 = 2\mu_n = 2m\mu_2$, i.e., $\mu_2 = 0$, so $\mu_n = 0$; if $n - 1 = 2m$, then by (4), (5), & (7), we get $m\mu_2 = \mu_{n-1} = \mu_{n-2} = (m-1)\mu_2 + \mu_1$, i.e., $\mu_2 = \mu_1$, again by (4) & (7), we get $\mu_2 = 0$, so $\mu_n = 0$, which is reduced to the precondition of the proof in the type A case. Hence, using the same argument as in the case of type A ([BW1]), we have $\mu = 0$. Therefore, $\zeta = \eta$ in both cases B and C.

For the type D case, from $\hat{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \hat{\eta}(\omega_i)$ and $\hat{\zeta}(\omega'_i) = \hat{\eta}(\omega'_i)$ for $i = n-1$, n, we have $(rs^{-1})^{(\alpha_{n-1},\mu)} = 1$ and $(rs^{-1})^{(\alpha_n,\mu)} = 1$, that means, together with the assumption, the integers $(\alpha_{n-1}, \mu) = 0$ and $(\alpha_n, \mu) = 0$. So we get $\mu_{n-2} = 2\mu_{n-1} = 2\mu_n$. If $n = 2m$, then $(m-1)\mu_2 = \mu_{n-2} = 2m\mu_2$, i.e., $\mu_2 = 0$. If $n - 1 = 2m$, applying (7) to $\mu_{n-1} = \mu_n$, we get $\mu_1 = 0$; applying (7) to $\mu_{n-2} = 2\mu_{n-1}$, we get $\mu_2 = 0$. So we have $\mu_n = 0$ for any n. Using the same proof as in the case of type A, we obtain $\mu = 0$, i.e., $\zeta = \eta$. □

REMARK 2.2. Lemma 2.1 indicates that under the assumption that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, we may simplify the notation by writing M_λ for the weight space rather than writing $M_{\hat{\lambda}}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. So it makes sense to let (3) take the classical form: $e_j M_\lambda \subseteq M_{\lambda+\alpha_j}$ and $f_j M_\lambda \subseteq M_{\lambda-\alpha_j}$.

Similar to the proof of [BW2, Corollary 3.14], we have

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let M be a finite-dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, then the elements e_i, f_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ act nilpotently on M.* □

Obviously, when rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, a finite-dimensional simple U-module is a highest weight module by Corollary 2.3 and (3).

We state the definition of the category $\mathcal{O}$ of weight U-modules as in [BW1, Section 4].

DEFINITION 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the category of modules M for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ (where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$) which satisfy the following conditions:*

($\mathcal{O}1$) *U^0 acts semisimply on M, and the set $\mathrm{wt}(M)$ of weights of M belongs to Λ : $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{wt}(M)} M_\lambda$, where $M_\lambda = \{\, m \in M \mid \omega_i.m = \langle \omega'_\lambda, \omega_i \rangle m,\ \omega'_i.m = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_\lambda \rangle^{-1} m,\ \forall\, i \,\}$;*

($\mathcal{O}2$) *$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} M_\lambda < \infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{wt}(M)$;*

($\mathcal{O}3$) *$\mathrm{wt}(M) \subseteq \cup_{\mu \in F}(\mu - Q^+)$ for some finite set $F \subset \Lambda$.*

The morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$ are U-module homomorphisms.

Actually, the category $\mathcal{O}$ just focuses on the class of the so-called *type* 1 U-modules like in the case of Drinfel'd-Jimbo quantum groups (see [J], [Jo], [KS]), which is closed under taking sub-object or sub-quotient object, making finite direct sum and taking tensor product.

Let V^{ψ} be the one-dimensional $\mathcal{B}$-module on which e_i acts as multiplication by 0 ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and U^0 acts via ψ, an algebra homomorphism from U^0 to $\mathbb{K}$. As usual, we can define the Verma module $M(\psi)$ with highest weight ψ to be the U-module induced from V^{ψ}, that is,

$$M(\psi) = U \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} V^{\psi}.$$

Set $v_{\psi} = 1 \otimes v \in M(\psi)$, where $v (\neq 0) \in V^{\psi}$. Then $e_i.v_{\psi} = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $a.v_{\psi} = \psi(a)\, v_{\psi}$ for any $a \in U^0$ by construction. By Corollary 1.8, $M(\psi) \cong U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes v_{\psi}$. Corollary 1.9 indicates that each Verma module $M(\psi) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if $\psi \in \hat{\Lambda}$.

Let N' be a proper submodule of $M(\psi)$, then (3) implies that

$$N' \subset \sum_{\mu \in Q^+ - \{0\}} M(\psi)_{\psi \cdot (\widehat{-\mu})},$$

as $M(\psi)_{\psi} = \mathbb{K} v_{\psi}$ generates $M(\psi)$. Hence, $M(\psi)$ has a unique maximal submodule N, namely the sum of all proper submodules, and a unique simple quotient, $L(\psi)$. Actually, all finite-dimensional simple U-modules are of this form, as the Theorem below indicates (which was proved by Benkart and Witherspoon [BW2, Theorem 2.1] in the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, but still holds with the same proof for our cases of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Theorem 2.5. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, let M be a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module, on which U^0 acts semisimply and which contains an element $m \in M_{\psi}$ ($\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0, \mathbb{K})$) such that $e_i.m = 0$ for all i. Then there is a unique homomorphism of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $F : M(\psi) \longrightarrow M$ with $F(v_{\psi}) = m$. In particular, if rs^{-1} is not a root of unity and M is a finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module, then $M \cong L(\psi)$ for some weight ψ.* □

As in [BW2, Lemma 2.3], it is easy to verify the commutation relations below.

Lemma 2.6. *For $m \geq 1$, set $[m]_i = \frac{r_i^m - s_i^m}{r_i - s_i}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have*

$$e_i f_i^m = f_i^m e_i + [m]_i\, f_i^{m-1} \frac{r_i^{1-m}\omega_i - s_i^{1-m}\omega_i'}{r_i - s_i},$$

$$e_i^m f_i = f_i e_i^m + [m]_i\, e_i^{m-1} \frac{s_i^{1-m}\omega_i - r_i^{1-m}\omega_i'}{r_i - s_i}.$$

□

Set $\alpha^{\vee} = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$, for any simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$, then for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $(\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by definition. Let $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda$ be the subset of dominant weights, that is, $\Lambda^+ = \{\, \lambda \in \Lambda \mid (\lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee}) \geq 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \,\}$.

Similar to [BW2, Lemma 2.4] in the type A case, we have

Lemma 2.7. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Let M be a nonzero finite-dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module on which U^0 acts semisimply. Suppose there is some nonzero vector $v \in M_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $e_i.v = 0$ for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $(\lambda,\alpha_n^\vee)\geq 0$, as the proof of $(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)\geq 0$ $(1\leq i<n)$ is the same as that of [BW2, Lemma 2.4].

Since f_n acts nilpotently on M by Corollary 2.3, there is some integer $m\geq 0$ such that $f_n^{m+1}.v=0$ but $f_n^m.v\neq 0$. Applying e_n to $f_n^{m+1}.v=0$, using Lemma 2.6 and the fact that $e_n.v=0$, we get $r_n^{-m}\hat\lambda(\omega_n)=s_n^{-m}\hat\lambda(\omega_n')$. Equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&r_n^{-m}r^{2(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}(rs)^{-\lambda_n}=s_n^{-m}s^{2(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}(rs)^{-\lambda_n}, && (\textit{for type } \mathrm{B})\\
&r_n^{-m}r^{2(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}(rs)^{-2\lambda_n}=s_n^{-m}s^{2(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}(rs)^{-2\lambda_n}, && (\textit{for type } \mathrm{C})\\
&r^{-m}r^{(\epsilon_{n-1},\lambda)}s^{-(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}(rs)^{-2\lambda_{n-1}}=s^{-m}r^{-(\epsilon_n,\lambda)}s^{(\epsilon_{n-1},\lambda)}(rs)^{-2\lambda_{n-1}}, && (\textit{for type } \mathrm{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently,

$$(r_ns_n^{-1})^{-m+(\lambda,\alpha_n^\vee)}=1,\quad (\textit{for types } \mathrm{B, C, D}).$$

The assumption of rs^{-1} forces $(\lambda,\alpha_n^\vee)=m\geq 0$. Therefore, $\lambda\in\Lambda^+$. □

Corollary 2.8. *For $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, then any finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module with weights in Λ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda\in\Lambda^+$.* □

The representation theory of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, developed by Benkart and Witherspoon in [BW2], plays a crucial role in the classification of finite-dimensional simple modules for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ (see [BW2, Section 2]) like in the classical case of the simple Lie algebras or in the quantized case of the Drinfel'd-Jimbo quantum groups. Note the observation arising from the structure constants of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$: for any vertex i from the corresponding Dynkin diagram of type B, C, or D, respectively, $\langle\omega_i',\omega_i\rangle=r_is_i^{-1}$ always holds. This fact guarantees that even in the two-parameter quantum orthogonal or symplectic groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$, there exist isomorphic copies of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as well. This suggests that these quantum groups possess a familiar finite-dimensional (weight) representation theory provided that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity.

Now let us recall the representation theory for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The first two assertions of the following Proposition comes from [BW2, Proposition 2.8 (i)], the last one may be regarded as an intrinsic generalization of [BW2, Proposition 2.8 (ii)] with a deep insight.

Proposition 2.9. *Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. For $U=U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ generated by e, f, ω and ω', for a given $\phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0,\mathbb{K})$, set $\phi=\phi(\omega)$, $\phi'=\phi(\omega')$, and in the Verma module $M(\phi)$, put $v_j=f^j/[j]!\otimes v_\phi$ for $j\geq 0$. Then*

(i) *$M(\phi)$ is a simple U-module if and only if $\phi\cdot r^{-j}-\phi'\cdot s^{-j}\neq 0$ for any $j\geq 0$.*

(ii) *If $\phi(\omega')=\phi(\omega)(rs^{-1})^{-m}$ for some integer $m\geq 0$, then $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\,v_j\mid j\geq m+1\,\}\cong M(\phi-(m+1)\alpha)$ is the unique maximal submodule of $M(\phi)$. The quotient is the $(m+1)$-dimensional simple module $L(\phi)$ spanned by vectors $v_0,v_1,\cdots,v_m$ and having U-action given by*

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega.v_j=\phi\cdot(rs^{-1})^{-j}v_j,\qquad \omega'.v_j=\phi\cdot(rs^{-1})^{-(m-j)}v_j,\\
e.v_j=\phi\cdot r^{-m}[m+1-j]\,v_{j-1},\quad (v_{-1}=0)\\
f.v_j=[j+1]\,v_{j+1}.\quad (v_{m+1}=0)
\end{gathered}
\tag{8}
$$

Any $(m+1)$-dimensional simple U-module is isomorphic to $L(\phi)$ for some such ϕ.

(iii) *If* $\nu = \nu_1\lambda_1 + \cdots + \nu_n\lambda_n \in \Lambda^+$, *where* λ_i *is the* i*-th fundamental weight for* $\mathfrak{g}$, *then* $\hat{\nu}(\omega_i') = \hat{\nu}(\omega_i)(r_i s_i^{-1})^{-\nu_i}$, *and the* U_i*-module* $L(\nu_i\lambda_i)$ *is* (ν_i+1)*-dimensional and has* U_i*-action given by* (8) *with* $\phi_i = \hat{\nu}(\omega_i)$, *where* U_i *is the copy of* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *in* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ *corresponding to the* i*-th vertex of the Dynkin diagram.*

Proof. For the proof of the last assertion, it suffices to show that there hold

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i)} = (r_i s_i^{-1})^{-(\alpha_i^\vee,\,\nu)} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i')}{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i)}, \quad (\textit{for any } i) \tag{9}$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

In the type A case, we have $\lambda_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda_n = 0$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i)} &= \frac{s^{(\epsilon_i,\nu)} r^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\nu)}}{r^{(\epsilon_i,\nu)} s^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\nu)}} = (rs^{-1})^{-(\alpha_i,\nu)} = (rs^{-1})^{-\nu_i} \\ &= \frac{s^{(\epsilon_i,\nu_i\lambda_i)} r^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\nu_i\lambda_i)}}{r^{(\epsilon_i,\nu_i\lambda_i)} s^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\nu_i\lambda_i)}} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i')}{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i)}.\end{aligned}$$

For types B, C and D, it suffices to consider types B_2, C_2 and D_4, respectively.

In the type B_2 case, we have $\lambda_1 = \epsilon_1$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$. By the defining formula (B) in Lemma 2.1, for $i = 1$, it follows directly from the argument in the type A case; while for $i = 2$, we get

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_2')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_2)} = \frac{s^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu)}}{r^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu)}} = (rs^{-1})^{-(\alpha_2^\vee,\,\nu)} = \frac{s^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu_2\lambda_2)}}{r^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu_2\lambda_2)}} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_2\lambda_2}(\omega_2')}{\widehat{\nu_2\lambda_2}(\omega_2)}.$$

In the type C_2 case, we have $\lambda_1 = \epsilon_1$, $\lambda_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. It suffices to consider the case $i = 2$. Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_2')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_2)} = \frac{s^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu)}}{r^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu)}} = (r_2 s_2^{-1})^{-(\alpha_2^\vee,\,\nu)} = \frac{s^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu_2\lambda_2)}}{r^{2(\epsilon_2,\nu_2\lambda_2)}} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_2\lambda_2}(\omega_2')}{\widehat{\nu_2\lambda_2}(\omega_2)}.$$

In the type D_4 case, we have $\lambda_1 = \epsilon_1$, $\lambda_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$, $\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)$, $\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4)$. It suffices to consider the cases $i = 3$, 4. By the formula (D) in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i')}{\hat{\nu}(\omega_i)} = (rs^{-1})^{(\alpha_i,\,\nu)} = \frac{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i')}{\widehat{\nu_i\lambda_i}(\omega_i)},$$

for $i = 3$, 4.

The proof is completed. □

Proposition 2.9 (iii) and its proof imply the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *Assume that* rs^{-1} *is not a root of unity and* $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, *set* $\nu_i = (\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)$, *then each vector* $f_i^{\nu_i+1}.v_\lambda$ *in the Verma* U*-module* $M(\lambda)$ *generates the Verma submodule* $M(\lambda - (\nu_i+1)\alpha_i)$ *for all* i, *where* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ *or* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

Proof. It follows from a direct calculation of $e_i f_i^{\nu_i+1}.v_\lambda = 0$ by Lemma 2.6 and (9). □

More generally, we have

Proposition 2.11. *Let $M(\lambda)$ be a Verma module with $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Then for every element ω of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, there exists a Verma submodule in $M(\lambda)$ with highest weight*

$$\lambda_\omega = \omega(\lambda + \rho) - \rho, \tag{10}$$

where ρ is the half-sum of all positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Every simple U-module as a composition factor of $M(\lambda)$ determines a highest weight module in $\mathcal{O}$. These highest weights are of the form (10).

Proof. The proof of this proposition is analogous to that of the corresponding assertion in the classical theory (see Dixmier [D]). □

Lemma 2.12. *For any simple U-module $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, take any $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i\alpha_i \in Q^+$ such that $m_i \le (\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)$, $\forall\, i$, then the linear mapping $U_{r,s}^{-\beta}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \ni x \mapsto x.v_\lambda$ is injective.*

Proof. By the definition of the Verma module, it is enough to show that $\lambda - \beta$ is not a weight of the maximal U-submodule N. This follows from Proposition 2.11, because no set of weights $\{\, \lambda_\omega - \sum_{i=1}^n n_i\alpha_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \,\}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W} - \{1\}$ contains $\lambda - \beta$. □

Lemma 2.13. *If an element $a \in U_{r,s}^{-\beta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ satisfies the relations $e_i a = a e_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then we have $a = 0$. If $f_i b = b f_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, for some $b \in U_{r,s}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{n})$, then $b = 0$.*

Proof. Write $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i\alpha_i \in Q^+$, and take a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ such that $(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) \ge m_i$ for all i. Consider the simple U-module $L(\lambda)$ with highest weight vector v_λ. Since $(e_i a).v_\lambda = (a e_i).v_\lambda = 0$ for all i, the vector $a.v_\lambda$ generates a proper submodule of $L(\lambda)$. Thus $a.v_\lambda = 0$, as $L(\lambda)$ is simple. Hence $a = 0$ by Lemma 2.12.

In order to prove the second assertion, we introduce a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism $\theta:\, U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(r) &= s^{-1}, & \theta(s) &= r^{-1}, \\ \theta(\omega_i) &= \omega_i', & \theta(\omega_i') &= \omega_i, \\ \theta(e_i) &= f_i, & \theta(f_i) &= (r_i s_i) e_i. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In fact, we can find that the image of θ is $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraically isomorphic to the associated quantum group $U_{s^{-1},r^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e., $\mathrm{Im}(\theta) \cong (U_{s^{-1},r^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), \langle\,|\,\rangle)$, where the pairing $\langle \omega_i' | \, \omega_j \rangle$ is defined via substituting (r, s) by (s^{-1}, r^{-1}) in the defining formula for $\langle \omega_i', \omega_j \rangle$ (see formulae (1_X) and (2) in Section 1).

Now applying the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism θ to the equation $f_i b = b f_i$, we get $\theta(b) = 0$, by the first assertion. Hence, $b = 0$. □

Returning to the pairing $\langle\,,\rangle:\ \mathcal{B}' \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ in Proposition 1.5, and combining with the Q-gradation on U (see Corollary 1.9), we have

Proposition 2.14. *For any $\beta \in Q^+$, the restriction of the pairing $\langle\,,\rangle$ in Proposition 1.5 to ${\mathcal{B}'}^{-\beta} \times \mathcal{B}^{\beta}$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. We have to show that for any $a \in {\mathcal{B}'}^{-\beta}$ such that $\langle a, b\rangle = 0$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}^\beta$, implies that $b = 0$. This will be proved by induction with respect to the usual ordering of Q_+. If β is a simple root, then it is true by formula (2) in Section

1. Let $\beta > 0$ with $\mathrm{ht}(\beta) > 1$ and suppose that it holds for all $\gamma \in Q^+$ such that $\beta - \gamma \in Q^+$.

Note that using the defining properties of skew-dual pairing and the comultiplication in U (see Proposition 1.2), we may check by induction:

$$\langle c\,\omega'_\nu, \omega_\mu\, d\rangle = \langle \omega'_\nu, \omega_\mu\rangle\, \langle c, d\rangle, \qquad \forall\, c \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-),\ d \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}), \tag{12}$$

$$\langle c, d\rangle = 0, \qquad c \in U_{r,s}^{-\sigma}(\mathfrak{n}^-),\ d \in U_{r,s}^{\delta}(\mathfrak{n}),\ \sigma,\, \delta \in Q^+,\ \sigma \neq \delta. \tag{13}$$

It suffices to assume that $b \in U_{r,s}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{n})$. By Proposition 1.2, we can write

$$\Delta(b) = \sum_{0 \le \gamma \le \beta} (\omega_\gamma \otimes 1)\, b_\gamma, \qquad b_\gamma \in U_{r,s}^{\gamma}(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes U_{r,s}^{\beta-\gamma}(\mathfrak{n}), \tag{14}$$

where $b_0 = b \otimes 1$ and $b_\beta = 1 \otimes b$. Let $\gamma \in Q^+$, $0 < \gamma < \beta$, $x \in \mathcal{B}'^{-\gamma}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}'^{-(\beta-\gamma)}$.

By (2), (12) & (13), we have

$$0 = \langle xy, b\rangle = \langle x \otimes y, \Delta(b)\rangle = \langle x \otimes y, (\omega_\gamma \otimes 1)\, b_\gamma\rangle = \langle x \otimes y, b_\gamma\rangle. \tag{15}$$

By assumption, for any $\gamma' < \beta$ the restriction of $\langle\,,\rangle$ to $\mathcal{B}'^{-\gamma'} \times \mathcal{B}^{\gamma'}$ is nondegenerate, so is its extension to a bilinear form on $[\,\mathcal{B}'^{-\gamma} \otimes \mathcal{B}'^{-(\beta-\gamma)}\,] \times [\,\mathcal{B}^{\gamma} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\beta-\gamma}\,]$. Hence it follows from (15) that $b_\gamma = 0$. Because of (14) this means that $\Delta(b) = b \otimes 1 + \omega_\beta \otimes b$. By (13), together with $\Delta(f_i) = 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \omega'_i$, we get $\hat{f}_i\, \hat{b} = \hat{b}\, \hat{f}_i$, and then $f_i\, b = b\, f_i$ for any i, after using φ (see the proof of [BGH, Theorem 2.5]). Thus, by Lemma 2.12, $b = 0$.

Similar reasoning indicates that for any $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\beta}$ such that $\langle a, b\rangle = 0$ for some $a \in \mathcal{B}'^{-\beta}$ implies that $a = 0$. □

In what follows, we consider the finite-dimensionality question of the simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. This problem has been solved by Benkart and Witherspoon in [BW2, Section 2] in the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, or $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. The same idea can be used to prove that $M(\lambda)$ has a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule $M'(\lambda)$ of finite codimension, as $L(\lambda)$ is the quotient of $M(\lambda)$ by its unique maximal submodule, where $M'(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$M'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) f_i^{k_i+1}.v_\lambda \cong \sum_{i=1}^{n} M\big(\lambda - (k_i{+}1)\alpha_i\big), \tag{16}$$

where $k_i = (\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)$ for all i. That is, to prove the module $L'(\lambda) = M(\lambda)/M'(\lambda)$ is nonzero and finite-dimensional. $L'(\lambda) \neq 0$ is clear, since any weight in $M'(\lambda)$ is less than or equal to $\lambda - (k_i{+}1)\alpha_i$ for some i, $v_\lambda \notin M'(\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.15. (i) ([BW2, Lemma 2.10]) *The elements e_j, f_j $(1 \le j \le n)$ act locally nilpotently on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L'(\lambda)$.*

(ii) ([BW2, Lemma 2.11]) *Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, $V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} V_{\lambda - j\alpha} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module for some weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If e, f act locally nilpotently on V, then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V < \infty$, and the weights of V are preserved under the simple reflection taking α to $-\alpha$.*

Proof. The proof of (i) is parallel to the type A case; the second part assertion is direct from [BW2]. □

Proposition 2.16. *Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Then for the $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L'(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L'(\lambda) < \infty$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L(\lambda) < \infty$.*

Proof. Consider $L'(\lambda)$ as a U_i-module, where U_i is the copy generated by e_i, f_i, ω_i, ω_i'. For μ a weight of $L'(\lambda)$, applying Lemma 2.15 to the U_i-module

$$L_i'(\mu) = U_i.L'(\lambda)_\mu = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^+} L_i'(\mu)_{\lambda'-j\alpha_i}$$

for some weight $\lambda' \leq \lambda$, we get that the simple reflection w_i preserves the weights of $L_i'(\mu)$, so $w_i(\mu)$ is a weight of $L'(\lambda)$. That is, the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the set of weights of $L'(\lambda)$. From Lie theory, we know that each $\mathcal{W}$-orbit only contains one dominant weight. But there are only finitely many dominant weights $\leq \lambda$, and as each weight space of $L'(\lambda)$ is of finite-dimension, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L'(\lambda) < \infty$. Thereby, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L(\lambda) < \infty$. □

For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.16 imply the following

Corollary 2.17. *A finite-dimensional simple object in the category $\mathcal{O}$ is precisely a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, and $L(\lambda) \cong L(\mu)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.* □

Finite-dimensional simple (weight) modules of generic type. As noted in [BW2, Section 2], for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, Benkart and Witherspoon gave a description of a classification of finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. We find that a similar structural feature for finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules also holds when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, after modifying some of the treatments.

Given a one-dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module L, Theorem 2.5 indicates that $L = L(\chi)$ for some $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0, \mathbb{K})$ with the elements e_i, f_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ trivially acting on $L(\chi)$. Relation $(X3)$ $(X = B,\, C,\, D)$ gives

$$\chi(\omega_i) = \chi(\omega_i'), \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n). \tag{17}$$

Conversely, if $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0, \mathbb{K})$ satisfies the equation (17), then Proposition 2.9 (ii) guarantees $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L(\chi) = 1$. We denote by L_χ the one-dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L(\chi)$.

The following Lemma was proved by Benkart and Witherspoon in the case of type A. We will give a unified proof for the classical types of $\mathfrak{g}$ based on an intrinsic observation in Proposition 2.9 (ii) & (iii).

Lemma 2.18. *Assume rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Given a finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L(\psi)$ with highest weight ψ, there exists a pair (χ, λ), where $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0, \mathbb{K})$ such that (17) holds, and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, so that $\psi = \chi \cdot \hat{\lambda}$, and $\mathrm{wt}\big(L(\psi)\big) \subseteq \chi \cdot \hat{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. As $L(\psi)$ is finite-dimensional and simple, for each pair of eigenvalues $(\psi(\omega_i), \psi(\omega_i'))$ when considering $L(\psi)$ as a U_i-module (where U_i is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-copy of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$), Proposition 2.9 (ii) tells us that there exists a nonnegative integer ν_i for each index i such that $\psi(\omega_i') = \psi(\omega_i)(r_i s_i^{-1})^{-\nu_i}$. Set $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \lambda_i$ where λ_i is the ith fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Now we take $\chi(\omega_i)=\psi(\omega_i)\hat{\lambda}_i(\omega_i)^{-1}$ and $\chi(\omega_i')=\psi(\omega_i')\hat{\lambda}_i(\omega_i')^{-1}$, that is, $\chi=\psi\cdot\hat{\lambda}_i^{-1}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0,\mathbb{K})$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\omega_i')&=\psi(\omega_i')\hat{\lambda}_i^{-1}(\omega_i')=\psi(\omega_i)(r_is_i^{-1})^{-\nu_i}\hat{\lambda}_i^{-1}(\omega_i')\\&=\psi(\omega_i)\hat{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_i)\qquad\big(\text{ by (9) }\big)\\&=\chi(\omega_i),\end{aligned}$$

as required. The last assertion that $\mathrm{wt}(L(\psi))\subseteq\chi\cdot\hat{\Lambda}$ is quite clear. □

Similar to [BW2, Theorem 2.19], for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, we have the classification Theorem for finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules as follows.

Theorem 2.19. *Let rs^{-1} be a non-root of unity. Each finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L(\psi)$ with $\psi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0,\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $L_\chi\otimes L(\lambda)$, where $\chi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0,\mathbb{K})$ with $\chi(\omega_i)=\chi(\omega_i')$ $(1\le i\le n)$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda^+$.* □

3. R-matrices, Quantum Casimir Operators, Complete Reducibility

For any two objects M, $M'\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, Benkart and Witherspoon in [BW1, Section 4] constructed a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$-module isomorphism

$$R_{M',M}\,:\,M'\otimes M\longrightarrow M\otimes M'$$

by a remarkable method due to Jantzen [J, Chap. 7] for the quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type.

The aim of this section is to generalize this result to the setting of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

Noting that the weight lattice

$$\Lambda\subseteq\bigoplus_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i\subseteq\bigoplus_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i,$$

as it was done in formula (1) of Section 2, for $\lambda\in\Lambda$, we have an algebra homomorphism $\hat{\lambda}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(U^0,\mathbb{K})$. Furthermore, we extend the pairing $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ to $\Lambda\times\Lambda$, such that for any $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\alpha_i$, $\mu=\sum_{i=1}^n q_i\alpha_i\in\Lambda$ with p_i, $q_i\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\langle\omega_\lambda',\omega_\mu\rangle=\Pi_{i=1}^n\hat{\lambda}(\omega_i)^{q_i},\tag{1}$$

which is well-defined in the algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$.

Now we define the map $f:\Lambda\times\Lambda\longrightarrow\mathbb{K}^*$ by

$$f(\lambda,\mu)=\langle\omega_\mu',\omega_\lambda\rangle^{-1},\tag{2}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{gathered}f(\lambda+\mu,\nu)=f(\lambda,\nu)\,f(\mu,\nu),\\f(\lambda,\mu+\nu)=f(\lambda,\mu)\,f(\lambda,\nu),\\f(\alpha_i,\mu)=\langle\omega_\mu',\omega_i\rangle^{-1},\qquad f(\lambda,\alpha_i)=\langle\omega_i',\omega_\lambda\rangle^{-1}.\end{gathered}\tag{3}$$

And we define the linear transformation $\tilde{f}=\tilde{f}_{M,M'}:M\otimes M'\longrightarrow M\otimes M'$ by $\tilde{f}(m\otimes m')=f(\lambda,\mu)\,(m\otimes m')$ for $m\in M_\lambda$ and $m'\in M_\mu'$.

Owing to $\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes e_i$, we have $\Delta(x) \in \sum_{0\leq\nu\leq\zeta} U_{r,s}^{\zeta-\nu}(\mathfrak{n})\omega_\nu \otimes U_{r,s}^{\nu}(\mathfrak{n})$, for all $x \in U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$, by induction. For each i, the expression of $\Delta(x)$ defines two skew-derivations ∂_i, ${}_i\partial : U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow U_{r,s}^{\zeta-\alpha_i}(\mathfrak{n})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= x \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i(x)\,\omega_i \otimes e_i + \textit{the rest},\\ \Delta(x) &= \omega_\zeta \otimes x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\,\omega_{\zeta-\alpha_i} \otimes {}_i\partial(x) + \textit{ the rest},\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where in each case "*the rest*" refers to terms involving products of more than one e_j in the second (resp. first) factor. More precisely, parallel to [BW1, Lemma 4.6] or comparing with [KS, Lemmas 6.14, 6.17], we have

Lemma 3.1. *For all $x \in U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$, $x' \in U_{r,s}^{\zeta'}(\mathfrak{n})$, and $y \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, the following hold:*

(i) $\partial_i(xx') = \langle \omega'_{\zeta'}, \omega_i\rangle\, \partial_i(x)\, x' + x\, \partial_i(x')$.
(ii) ${}_i\partial(xx') = {}_i\partial(x)\, x' + \langle \omega'_i, \omega_\zeta\rangle\, x\, {}_i\partial(x')$.
(iii) $\langle f_i y,\, x\rangle = \langle f_i, e_i\rangle\, \langle y,\, {}_i\partial(x)\rangle = (s_i - r_i)^{-1}\langle y,\, {}_i\partial(x)\rangle$.
(iv) $\langle y f_i,\, x\rangle = \langle f_i, e_i\rangle\, \langle y,\, \partial_i(x)\rangle = (s_i - r_i)^{-1}\langle y,\, \partial_i(x)\rangle$.
(v) $f_i x - x f_i = (s_i - r_i)^{-1}\big(\partial_i(x)\, \omega_i - \omega'_i\, {}_i\partial(x)\big)$. □

Also, for each i, the expression of $\Delta(y)$ for $y \in U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ defines two skew-derivations ∂_i, ${}_i\partial : U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \longrightarrow U_{r,s}^{-\zeta+\alpha_i}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y) &= y \otimes \omega'_\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i(y) \otimes f_i\, \omega'_{\zeta-\alpha_i} + \textit{the rest},\\ \Delta(y) &= 1 \otimes y + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \otimes {}_i\partial(y)\, \omega'_i + \textit{the rest.}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Parallel to [BW1, Lemma 4.8], we have

Lemma 3.2. *For all $y \in U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, $y' \in U_{r,s}^{-\zeta'}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, and $x \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$, the following hold:*

(i) $\partial_i(yy') = \partial_i(y)\, y' + \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_i\rangle\, y\, \partial_i(y')$.
(ii) ${}_i\partial(yy') = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\zeta'}\rangle\, {}_i\partial(y)\, y' + y\, {}_i\partial(y')$.
(iii) $\langle y,\, e_i x\rangle = \langle f_i, e_i\rangle\, \langle \partial_i(y),\, x\rangle = (s_i - r_i)^{-1}\langle \partial_i(y),\, x\rangle$.
(iv) $\langle y,\, x e_i\rangle = \langle f_i, e_i\rangle\, \langle\, {}_i\partial(y),\, x\rangle = (s_i - r_i)^{-1}\langle\, {}_i\partial(y),\, x\rangle$.
(v) $e_i y - y e_i = (r_i - s_i)^{-1}\big(\omega_i\, \partial_i(y) - {}_i\partial(y)\, \omega'_i\big)$. □

By Proposition 2.14, the spaces $U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$ and $U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ are non-degenerately paired. We may select a basis $\{u_k^\zeta\}_{k=1}^{d_\zeta}$, ($d_\zeta = \dim U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$), for $U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$ and a dual basis $\{v_k^\zeta\}_{k=1}^{d_\zeta}$ for $U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$. Then for each $x \in U_{r,s}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{n})$ and $y \in U_{r,s}^{-\zeta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, we have

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\zeta} \langle v_k^\zeta, x\rangle\, u_k^\zeta, \qquad y = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\zeta} \langle y, u_k^\zeta\rangle\, v_k^\zeta. \tag{6}$$

For $\zeta \in Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}^+\alpha_i$, we define

$$\Theta_\zeta = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\zeta} v_k^\zeta \otimes u_k^\zeta. \tag{7}$$

Set $\Theta_\zeta = 0$ if $\zeta \notin Q^+$. Similar to [BW1, Lemma 4.10], for the cases when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, we also have

Lemma 3.3. *For $1 \le i \le n$, the following relations hold*
(i) $(\omega_i \otimes \omega_i)\,\Theta_\zeta = \Theta_\zeta\,(\omega_i \otimes \omega_i), \qquad (\omega_i' \otimes \omega_i')\,\Theta_\zeta = \Theta_\zeta\,(\omega_i' \otimes \omega_i')$;
(ii) $(e_i \otimes 1)\,\Theta_\zeta + (\omega_i \otimes e_i)\,\Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_i} = \Theta_\zeta\,(e_i \otimes 1) + \Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_i}\,(\omega_i' \otimes e_i)$;
(iii) $(1 \otimes f_i)\,\Theta_\zeta + (f_i \otimes \omega_i')\,\Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_i} = \Theta_\zeta\,(1 \otimes f_i) + \Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_i}\,(f_i \otimes \omega_i)$. □

Now we define

$$\Theta = \sum_{\zeta \in Q^+} \Theta_\zeta. \tag{8}$$

Given $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module M and M' in $\mathcal{O}$, we apply Θ to their tensor product:

$$\Theta = \Theta_{M,M'} : M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M \otimes M'.$$

Note that $\Theta_\zeta : M_\lambda \otimes M'_\mu \longrightarrow M_{\lambda-\zeta} \otimes M'_{\mu+\zeta}$ for all λ, $\mu \in \Lambda$, and there are only finitely many $\zeta \in Q^+$ such that $M'_{\mu+\zeta} \neq 0$, thanks to condition ($\mathcal{O}3$). So Θ is a well-defined linear transformation on $M \otimes M'$. After appropriately ordering the chosen countable bases of weight vectors for both M and M', we see that each Θ_ζ with $\zeta > 0$ has a strictly triangular matrix, while $\Theta_0 = 1 \otimes 1$ acts as the identity transformation on $M \otimes M'$, hence $\Theta_{M,M'}$ is an invertible transformation.

Theorem 3.4. *Let M and M' be $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in $\mathcal{O}$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. Then the map*

$$R_{M',M} = \Theta \circ \tilde{f} \circ P : M' \otimes M \longrightarrow M \otimes M'$$

is an isomorphism of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, where $P : M' \otimes M \longrightarrow M \otimes M'$ is the flip map such that $P(m' \otimes m) = m \otimes m'$ for any $m \in M$, $m' \in M'$.

Proof. Obviously, $R_{M',M}$ is invertible. It remains to show that $R_{M',M}$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphism, that is, to check that

$$\Delta(a) R_{M',M}(m' \otimes m) = R_{M',M} \Delta(a)(m' \otimes m) \tag{9}$$

holds for all $a \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$, $m \in M_\lambda$ and $m' \in M'_\mu$. It suffices to verify (9) for the generators e_n, f_n, ω_n, ω_n', because the subalgebra generated by the first $4(n-1)$ generators e_i, f_i, ω_i, ω_i' $(1 \le i < n)$ is isomorphic to $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, and this can be reduced to the proof of the type A case (see [BW1, Theorem 4.11]). We will present the computation just for $a = f_n$. Using Lemma 3.3 (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS of (9)} &= f(\lambda,\mu)\Delta(f_n)\Theta(m \otimes m') \\
&= f(\lambda,\mu)(1 \otimes f_n)\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_\zeta\big)(m \otimes m') \\
&\qquad + f(\lambda,\mu)(f_n \otimes \omega_n')\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_n}\big)(m \otimes m') \\
&= f(\lambda,\mu)\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_\zeta\big)(1 \otimes f_n)(m \otimes m') \\
&\qquad + f(\lambda,\mu)\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_n}\big)(f_n \otimes \omega_n)(m \otimes m') \\
&= f(\lambda,\mu)\langle \omega_n', \omega_\lambda\rangle\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_\zeta\big)(\omega_n' m \otimes f_n m') \\
&\qquad + f(\lambda,\mu)\langle \omega_\mu', \omega_n\rangle\big(\textstyle\sum \Theta_{\zeta-\alpha_n}\big)(f_n m \otimes m').
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RHS of (9)} &= R_{M',M}(m'\otimes f_n m + f_n m'\otimes \omega_n' m)\\ &= (\Theta\circ\tilde{f})(f_n m\otimes m' + \omega_n' m\otimes f_n m')\\ &= f(\lambda-\alpha_n,\mu)\Theta(f_n m\otimes m') + f(\lambda,\mu-\alpha_n)\Theta(\omega_n' m\otimes f_n m')\\ &= f(\lambda-\alpha_n,\mu)\big(\sum\Theta_\zeta\big)(f_n\otimes 1)(m\otimes m')\\ &\qquad + f(\lambda,\mu-\alpha_n)\big(\sum\Theta_\zeta\big)(\omega_n'\otimes f_n)(m\otimes m').\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3) indicates that (9) holds. □

Remark 3.5. Similar to the treatment in [BW1, Section 5] for the type A case, we can prove the maps $R_{M',M}$ satisfy the quantum Yang-Baxter equation for our cases. That is, given three $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M, M', M'' in $\mathcal{O}$, we have $R_{12}\circ R_{23}\circ R_{12} = R_{23}\circ R_{12}\circ R_{23}$ as maps from $M\otimes M'\otimes M''$ to $M''\otimes M'\otimes M$ (see [BW1, Theorem 5.4]). On the other hand, we also can prove the hexagon identities (see [BW1, Theorem 5.7]) for the maps $R_{M',M}$ by the same approach. Consequently, $\mathcal{O}$ is a braided monoidal category with braiding $R = R_{M',M}$ for each pair of modules M', M in $\mathcal{O}$.

Quantum Casimir operators and complete reducibility. The $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphisms $R_{M',M}$ constructed in Theorem 3.4, which are called the R-matrices, are mainly determined by Θ. For the expression (7) of Θ_ζ, we set

$$\Omega_\zeta = \sum_k S(v_k^\zeta)u_k^\zeta, \qquad \Omega_\zeta' = \theta(\Omega_\zeta), \tag{10}$$

$$\Omega = \sum_{\zeta\in Q^+}\Omega_\zeta, \qquad \Omega' = \sum_{\zeta\in Q^+}\Omega_\zeta', \tag{11}$$

where θ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ into its associated quantum group $U_{s^{-1},r^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (for definition, see [BGH]) introduced in the formula (11) in Section 2. Obviously, Θ_ζ, Ω_ζ, Ω and Ω' are independent of the choice of bases $\{u_k^\zeta\}$ and $\{v_k^\zeta\}$. Ω preserves the weight spaces of any $M\in\mathcal{O}$.

Definition 3.6. *The element Ω is called a quantum Casimir element for the two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proposition 3.7. *Let ψ and φ be the algebra automorphisms of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\psi(\omega_i) = \omega_i$, $\psi(\omega_i') = \omega_i'$, $\psi(e_i) = \omega_i'\omega_i^{-1}e_i$, $\psi(f_i) = f_i{\omega_i'}^{-1}\omega_i$ and $\varphi(\omega_i) = \omega_i$, $\varphi(\omega_i') = \omega_i'$, $\varphi(e_i) = e_i\omega_i^{-1}\omega_i'$, $\varphi(f_i) = \omega_i{\omega_i'}^{-1}f_i$. Then*

$$\psi(a)\,\Omega = \Omega\,a, \qquad \varphi(a)\,\Omega' = \Omega'\,a, \quad \textit{for}\ \ a\in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{12}$$

Proof. Since ψ is an algebra automorphism, it is enough to prove the first assertion for the generators $a = \omega_i$, ω_i', e_i, f_i. For $a=\omega_i$ or ω_i', it is obviously true. Applying the mapping $\mathfrak{m}\circ(S\otimes 1)$ to both sides of Lemma 3.3 (ii) & (iii) (where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the product of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ and S is its antipode) and summing over $\zeta\in Q^+$ we obtain $\Omega\,e_i = \omega_i'\omega_i^{-1}e_i\Omega$ and $\Omega\,f_i = f_i{\omega_i'}^{-1}\omega_i\Omega$. This means that $\Omega\,e_i = \psi(e_i)\,\Omega$ and $\Omega\,f_i = \psi(f_i)\,\Omega$. Applying the automorphism θ we get the assertion for Ω'. □

Corollary 3.8. *For $M\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, assume that $m\in M_\lambda$. Then*

(i) $\Omega\,e_i.m = (r_is_i^{-1})^{-(\lambda+\alpha_i,\alpha_i^\vee)}e_i\,\Omega.m$,

(ii) $\Omega\,f_i.m = (r_is_i^{-1})^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}f_i\,\Omega.m$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, for $m \in M_\lambda$, we have

$$\psi(e_i)\,\Omega.m = \langle \omega'_{\lambda+\alpha_i}, \omega_i\rangle^{-1}\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\lambda+\alpha_i}\rangle^{-1} e_i\,\Omega.m,$$
$$\psi(f_i)\,\Omega.m = \langle \omega'_{\lambda}, \omega_i\rangle\,\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\lambda}\rangle\, f_i\,\Omega.m.$$

Using formulas (B), (C), & (D) in Lemma 2.1, we can conclude the required result. □

Remark 3.9. According to Section 1, we have made a convention: we have $r_i = r^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$, $s_i = s^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$ only in the type B case,so $(r_is_i^{-1})^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)} = (rs^{-1})^{2(\lambda,\alpha_i)}$ for any i. However, for any other case, we always have $(r_is_i^{-1})^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)} = (rs^{-1})^{(\lambda,\alpha_i)}$ for any i since $r_i = r^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}}$, $s_i = s^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}}$. Based on this observation, we make the following definition.

Definition 3.10. *For $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, define a linear operator $\omega : M \longrightarrow M$ by setting*

$$\omega.v_\mu = (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}v_\mu, \quad \textit{for} \ \ v_\mu \in M_\mu, \tag{13}$$

where ρ is the half-sum of all positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\Delta_{X,B} = 2$ if $X = B$, otherwise, $\Delta_{X,B}$ will take value 1.

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that the Verma module $M(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, then the operator $\Omega\omega$ is a multiple of the identity operator, that is,*

$$\Omega\omega = (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}I. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let v_λ be a highest weight vector of the Verma module $M(\lambda)$. Then $M(\lambda) = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)v_\lambda = \sum_{\beta\in Q^+} U_{r,s}^{-\beta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)v_\lambda$. For $f_\beta \in U_{r,s}^{-\beta}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, denote $v_{\lambda-\beta} := f_\beta.v_\lambda$, which is a weight vector of weight $\lambda-\beta$. We claim that

$$\Omega\omega.f_i.v_{\lambda-\beta} = f_i.\Omega\omega.v_{\lambda-\beta}, \tag{15}$$

for any $\beta \in Q^+$ and any i. Indeed, noting that

$$\frac{1}{2}\big[\,(\sigma-\alpha_i+\rho, \sigma-\alpha_i+\rho) - (\sigma+\rho,\sigma+\rho)\,\big] + (\sigma,\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2}\big[\,(\alpha_i,\alpha_i) - 2(\alpha_i,\rho)\,\big] = 0,$$

and setting $\lambda-\beta = \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\omega.f_i.v_{\lambda-\beta} &= (\Omega f_i)(rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}c}\,\omega.v_{\lambda-\beta}\\ &= (f_i{\omega'_i}^{-1}\omega_i\Omega)\,(rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}c}\,\omega.v_{\lambda-\beta}\\ &= f_i(rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}(\lambda-\beta,\alpha_i)}(rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}c}\,\Omega\omega.v_{\lambda-\beta}\\ &= f_i\,\Omega\omega.v_{\lambda-\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where $c = \frac{1}{2}\big[\,(\lambda-\beta-\alpha_i+\rho, \lambda-\beta-\alpha_i+\rho) - (\lambda-\beta+\rho,\lambda-\beta+\rho)\,\big]$. (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\omega.f_\beta.v_\lambda &= f_\beta.\Omega\omega.v_\lambda\\ &= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}f_\beta.\Omega.v_\lambda\\ &= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}f_\beta.\Omega_0.e_\lambda\\ &= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}f_\beta.v_\lambda, \quad (\Omega_0 = 1).\end{aligned}$$

So the relation (14) follows. □

Corollary 3.12. (i) *For the simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $L(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, there holds*

$$\Omega\omega = (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)} I.$$

(ii) *For each finite-dimensional $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, the eigenvalues of the operator $(\Omega\omega)|_M$ are integral powers of $(rs^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. (i) is evident. For (ii), as $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite-dimensional, it has a composition series whose factors are finite-dimensional simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in $\mathcal{O}$, on which $\Omega\omega$ acts as multiplication by $(rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)}$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda^+$, as indicated by (i) and Corollary 2.8. After taking an appropriate basis of M compatible with a chosen composition series, the acting matrix of $(\Omega\omega)|_M$ has the required property. □

From Corollary 3.8 and Definition 3.10, we have a further result as follows.

Theorem 3.13. *The operator $\Omega\omega : M \longrightarrow M$ commutes with the action of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ on any module $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.*

Proof. At first, it needs to show that $\Omega\omega$ commutes with e_i, f_i $(1 \le i \le n)$. For $m \in M_\mu$, by Corollary 3.8 and Definition 3.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega\omega.(e_i.m) &= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu+\alpha_i+\rho,\, \mu+\alpha_i+\rho)}\Omega e_i.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}[\,\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\alpha_i+\rho,\, \mu+\alpha_i+\rho)-(\mu+\alpha_i,\, \alpha_i)\,]} e_i\Omega.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu+\rho,\, \mu+\rho)} e_i\Omega.m \\
&= e_i.(\Omega\omega.m). \\
\Omega\omega.(f_i.m) &= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu-\alpha_i+\rho,\, \mu-\alpha_i+\rho)}\Omega f_i.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{\Delta_{X,B}[\,\frac{1}{2}(\mu-\alpha_i+\rho,\, \mu-\alpha_i+\rho)+(\mu,\, \alpha_i)\,]} f_i\Omega.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\mu+\rho,\, \mu+\rho)} f_i\Omega.m \\
&= f_i.(\Omega\omega.m).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\Omega\omega$ commutes with the action of ω_i, ω_i' $(1 \le i \le n)$, for it preserves the weight spaces of M. □

The following Lemma is due to [BW2, Lemma 3.7] for the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$, or $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, which is still valid in our cases.

Lemma 3.14. *Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Let M be a nonzero finite-dimensional quotient of the Verma $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $M(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O})$. Then M is simple. In particular, $L'(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.6 means $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. The proof is based on the counter-evidence method and Proposition 3.11, which is the same as that of [BW2, Lemma 3.7], with slight differences: for the function $g(\lambda)$ used in the proof there we use $(rs^{-1})^{\frac{\Delta_{X,B}}{2}(\lambda+\rho,\lambda+\rho)}$ instead, noting the fact from Lie algebra theory (see [D], or [K]) that for any weight $\mu \le \lambda$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $(\lambda+\rho, \lambda+\rho) = (\mu+\rho, \mu+\rho)$ if and only if $\mu = \lambda$. □

Based on the above results, using a similar argument due to Kac [K] in the proof of complete reducibility of category $\mathcal{O}$ for affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras (or comparing with the proof of [BW2, Theorem 3.8] in the spirit of Lusztig [L1]), we have

Theorem 3.15. *Assume that rs^{-1} is a non-root of unity. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, let M be a nonzero finite-dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module on which U^0 acts semisimply. Then M is completely reducible.* $\square$

Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done when N. Hu visited the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of York University in Canada from Sept. 2003 to Sept. 2004, visited the DMA, l'Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris in France as an invited professor from Oct. 20 to Nov. 20, 2004, and visited the Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg in Germany as a DFG-visiting professor from Nov. 21, 2004 to Feb. 28, 2005. He would like to express his deep thanks to M. Rosso and H. Strade for their invitation and extreme hospitality, as well as the supports from York University, l'Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris and die Deutche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

References

[BW1] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Two-parameter quantum groups and Drinfel'd doubles*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **7** (2004), 261–286.

[BW2] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups and Schur-Weyl duality*, Hopf algebras, pp. 65–92, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 237, Dekker, New York, 2004.

[BW3] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Restricted two-parameter quantum groups*, Fields Institute Communications, "Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry", vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 293–318.

[BGH] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, math.RT/0505614, to appear in J. of Algebra.

[D] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

[Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in "Proceedings ICM", Berkeley, Amer. Math. Soc. 1987, pp. 798–820.

[J] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, vol. 6, Graduate Studies in Math., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996.

[Jo] A. Joseph, *Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[K] V.G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensinal Lie Algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[KS] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1997.

[L1] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[L2] G. Lusztig, *Quantum groups at roots of* 1, Geom. Dedicata **35** (1990), 89–114.

[RTF] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebra and Anal. **1**, 178–206 (1989) (Leningrad Math. J. 1 [Engl. transl. 193–225 (1990)]).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, North York, Toronto, ON Canada, M3J 1P3
E-mail address: `bergeron@mathstat.yorku.ca`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, North York, Toronto, ON Canada, M3J 1P3
E-mail address: `ygao@yorku.ca`

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, PR China
E-mail address: `nhhu@euler.math.ecnu.edu.cn`